

ADDENDUM 1

RFQ FOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES

This Addendum is part of RFQ Documents for the subject project issued May 2026. The following changes shall be made to the RFQ documents. All other conditions shall remain the same.

1. REVISE the Request for Statement of Qualifications as follows:

- a. ADD the sentence in bold to the following paragraph on the Advertisement and RFQ document as follows:

The most qualified CM consulting firm for each of the four categories of work listed below will be selected; at its discretion, the University may select one firm to provide more than one Work Scope. **The selected firms will be awarded a 3-year Construction Management Blanket Agreement.** Firms must indicate each Work Scope they wish to be considered for and demonstrate their experience and staffing skills specific to each indicated work category. See RFQ document available in the above link for specific qualifications and documents required as well as selection criteria. The categories of work are as follows:

2. CLARIFICATION/RFI RESPONSES:

Q1. RFQ Pages 4-5, Work Scope 4: Cost Estimation/Feasibility Review:

- a. Work Scope 4 includes “quality control support for contractor work. “Can you please elaborate on what quality control support is needed?
b. What is the time frame of the “Upcoming Capital Infrastructure Projects?” Next year? Six Months? This will help us better understand the potential level of effort.
c. Does Work Scope 4 require regular on-site support? We anticipate occasional on-site needs, however, not regular on-site support.

A1. a. Quality control support would be on-site 3rd party review of work in place to ensure compliance with installation guidelines & contract documents when requested.

- b. Upcoming projects are projected for the next year, or option year depending on funding approval.

c. Regular on-site support is not required. Hybrid model is preferred.

Q2. Software Licensing: Will the University provide the selected Consultant with Primavera P6 and Power BI licenses and environmental access, or are the Consultants expected to provide their own standalone licenses?

A2. Consultant will need to provide their own standalone licenses.

Q3. Financial Data Access: To support the comparison of actual versus forecasted costs, will the consultant have direct access to the University’s financial systems, or will data be provided in an export format?

A3. Data will be provided in an export format.

Q4. Agreement Duration & Date: What is the anticipated duration (in years) and the expected start date for this blanket agreement?

A4. Anticipated start date is August 2026 with agreement duration of 3 years.

Q5. Do the pages of the CSOQ form count toward the 15-page limit, or are they excluded as a form separate from the narrative proposal?

A5. No, the CSOQ form does not count toward the 15-page limit

Q6. Our proposed model includes a hybrid structure: our Project Controls Lead will visit the Sacramento campus as needed to support key meetings and coordination activities, while the core Primavera P6 scheduling and Power BI reporting work is performed remotely. This approach ensures effective collaboration while maintaining efficiency in technical delivery. Please confirm that this approach aligns with the University's expectations for Work Scope 3.

A6. This scope aligns with what we are expecting from Work Scope 3.